

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/302,431	04/30/1999	CHANG-HYI LEE	P55690	6892
	7590 05/11/2004		EXAMINER	
ROBERT E BUSHNELL			LANIER, BENJAMIN E	
1522 K STRE	EET NW			
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER ,
WASHINGTON DC 20005			2122	<del> </del>

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/302,431	LEE ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	!
	Benjamin E Lanier	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (	ension fee under 2) as set forth in
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:	Glbons GILBERTO E SUPERVISORY PATE TECHNOLOGY CE	BARRON JENT EXAMINER	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's arugment with regards to the new matter rejection of the amended material introduced in the amendment filed 24 July 2003, if the amended material that made up claims 18-40 was added to original claims 1-17 then they would incorporated in the new matter rejections and in response to applicants request for a showing of specific new matter that was introduced by the amendment filed 24 July 2003, the new matter is as followed: terminal receiving and function-processing a physical address of a bad sector of the storage medium, a random number generated and stored in a spare area of said terminal and a secret channel key generated in said terminal to obtain a processed value and said terminal encrypting a header of the digital content with the processed value, portable terminal supplier embedding the manufacturer key information in said portable terminal, certificate authority and content supplier sharing a first secret channel, said content supplier receiving and storing said first key information from the certificate authority through said first secret channel for supplying stad encrypted digital content, said content supplier generating and ouputting second key information for giving an auntorization to receive and reprodcue said encrypted digital content personal computer having public key information of said certificate authority, said personal computer and said content supplier sharing a second secret channel, said personal computer verifying said first key information, supplier by using said public key information of said certificate authority and receiving the second key information through said second secret channel, said personal computer receiving said encrypted digital content through said second secret channel, portable terminal transferring the embedded manufacturer key information to said content supplier through said personal computer to be verified by said content supplier, said portable terminal and said personal computer sharing a third secret channel for transferring said encrypted digital content between said portable terminal and said personal computer, supplier to form said first secret channel, the first key information is encoded by said first channel key and then transferred to said content supplier, and said content supplier decodes the encoded first key information by said first channel key, personal computer to form said second secret channel and the second key information is encoded by said second channel key and then transferred to said personal computer, certificate authority generating first key information for giving authorization to supply said digital content, said certificate authority generating a token to make an information table, token information encrypted by said manufacturer key, updating reproduction data whenever any content downloading or uploading session between said first content output means and said second content output means occurs.

Applicants argument that Fig. 1 adequatley describes the amended material is not persuasive because Fig. 1 merely discloses the existance of the physical entities. In no way does Fig. 1 adequatley describe the relationships between all the main physical entities as described in the amended material.

Applicants argument with regards to Down's Clearinghouse being differentiated from a traditional certificate authority is not persuasive because Down's discloses that the end user does not need acquire certificates from a non bona-fide certification authority because they can receive certificates from the Clearinghouse (Col. 14, lines 54-67), which not only does it show that the certificate authority and the Clearinghouse are not differentiated but it shows that the Clearinghouse is able to provide the same certification capabilities as the certification authority. Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the Clearinghouse of Down's as a certificate authority. Applicant's argument with regards to the manufacturer key information is not persuasive becase Down's discloses the end user can be a pc, while the end user application player can be a properly licensed portable consumer device (second content output means) and can be used to access the content once authorized, and can request and manage content keys from the clearinghouse (Col.11, line 54 - Col.12, line 10), which meets the limitation of a portable terminal manufactured by said portable terminal supplier for reproducing said digital content, said portable terminal transferring the imbedded manufacturer key information to said content supplier through said personal computer to be verified by said content supplier, said portable terminal and said personal computer.

Applicants argument that Down's does not disclose a portable terminal supplier providing a portable terminal is not persuasive because Down's discloses the use of a portable terminals, and one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that these portable terminals are manufactured and sold by a company (terminal supplier).